



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.														
10/563,467	01/04/2006	Sergio Martins Costa	10008.010	1361														
7590 Christopher J Fildes Fildes & Outland PC Suite 2 20916 Mack Avenue Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236		01/08/2008	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>1797</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"><table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/08/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1797		<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/08/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/08/2008	PAPER
EXAMINER																		
MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA																		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER																	
1797																		
<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/08/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/08/2008	PAPER													
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE																	
01/08/2008	PAPER																	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</p>	Application No. 10/563,467	Applicant(s) COSTA, SERGIO MARTINS	
	Examiner Virginia Manoharan	Art Unit 1797	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) ☒ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 Claim(s) allowed: none.
 Claim(s) objected to: none.
 Claim(s) rejected: 5-16.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE


8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
 13. ☐ Other: _____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would provoke new 112 rejections. For examples only:

The claimed the base including a feed chamber communicating through the bottom tubesheet with the tubes of the first tube bundle for supplying the tubes with a flow of undistilled water for partial vaporization, means for conducting a heated liquid against the tubes of the first tube bundle and partially vaporizing the undistilled water therein, and the first stage evaporator having a first stage vapor chamber above the upper tubesheet and a feed chamber below the bottom tubesheet in open communication with the tubes of the first tube bundle for receiving therefrom heated water vapor and residual undistilled water, the upward extension of the internal wall directing the heated vapor to a subsequent stage evaporator tube bundle; and a second final stage evaporator, being also a pre-final stage condenser, including a second final cylindrical vertical tube bundle, having tubes supported and sealed by a second final, upper tubesheet and a second final bottom tubesheet, the final bottom tubesheet carrying a final stage floating head connected to receive, by gravity feed means from a final stage vapor chamber, residual undistilled water vacuumed from a prior stage....recited in claim 5 is nowhere in the specification. However, if support can be pointed-out, at least the specification fails to provide proper antecedent support as the above additional limitations are not positively recited in the specification. See also the limitations added in claims 6 and 7.


VIRGINIA MANCATA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 12 1297